G. R. S. 59]

GPB—15,000—22-7-14

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1900 - 1901
~~191~~ .
L. B.)

File No. 126 of 1900

Serial Nos. 1 — 2

B
~~Papers~~ Filed.

Month May 1901

SUBJECT.

Special Allowance to the Patel of Saulanga, Honna Taluk.

Indexed — [illegible]

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.	LATER REFERENCES.
	Transferred to [illegible]

The only provision in the Postage Rules applicable to the present reference is Rule XII of the Chief Commissioner's notification no 219 of 27th October 1874, published in Govt Pro: No. (Comp. 950-60 of 16th Sept 1885 (Page 70).

Enquiries have also been made in the Comptroller's office. Mr Vijayaraj Chetty says that as the cost of municipal establishment is met from D F, this allowance is chargeable to the same head. & that if Govt are pleased to direct payment of the special allowance from Provincial funds, there is no harm.

A reference to the Civil Budget shows that provision for Kotwals and Kazies is made within the Budget under the head "Law and Justice".

Mr Sadasiva Iyer
Mr Vijayaraj Chetty

ted to Secretary.

The question raised by the
Nd Councillor is, whether there
is nothing in the Patej Rules
about payment of additional
remuneration to Patels for
extra work such as that
referred to by the (Deputy)
President District Fund Boards,
Kaira District

Rule XII of the Rules
published under late
Govt Circular Notn No 2198
dated 9th October 1874 which allows
an extra allowance not
exceeding Rs 15/- per ~~month~~ annum
to the Patels who are called upon
to perform heavy miscellaneous
duties, seems to meet the
requirements of the present
case. The President's attention
may therefore be drawn
to the said Rule

9/5/1901

3

I think I sent this back. See please send on Sept 8/5

Secy

I B B:

A few days ago, there was a Mohamedan Petition from the Police of a certain Village for an allowance from District funds on account of the services he had rendered to Travellers &c & returned it with an enquiry as to whether there was anything in the Police Rules - I don't remember & have since seen the Rules on the subject - Please enquire —

Q

8/5/07

This has been submitted with the information called for.

Orig 8/5/07 Secd.

IBB

9/5

The allowance under
R. XII rule is sufficient
for ordinary places. Even
in places where specially suffi-
cient allowance is given
it is necessary for travellers to com-
municate the ultimate
fact whether
expenses actually incurred
by them are in excess of
the allowance, and if so
to what extent. This
is a matter of importance
and the information is much
required by us, which
is an inconvenient
one. Considering that
the Kotwal who may have
previously been in charge
of the business, should be
directed to furnish
this information. I have
also directed his attention
to the rule XII
and to the necessity of
complying with it.

Yours
19/8/47

Yours
Sincerely

L 1790

(General Serial No. 30.)

11408 D 6-4-01 (84)

1901.

From

The President
District Funds Board
Shimoga

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Revenue & Revenue Depts.

Dated 3d April 1901.
Despatched
Received

General No. 843 } 1901.
No. 679

Enclosures Number. 1.

Sir,

I am directed to request that you will be good enough to move Government to accord sanction to the grant of a special allowance of Rs. 2-8- to the patels of Sanlanga, Honnali Taluk for attending to the wants of travellers.

The Shimoga D. F. Budget for 1900-1901 provides for the salary of a caretaker on Rs. 6 p.m. to the III class Bungalow & of a sweeper on Rs. 5 - Exact both appointed in the Board's Budget to the Musafirkhana at Sanlanga.

A perusal of the several D.F. Budgets shews that no such special allowance to Patels is given in any District in consideration of Patels attending to the wants of the travelling public of III class Bungalows

Submitted. DJ 13/4

Shimoga DJ 13/4

Subd to Secy as to whether the allowance may be sanctioned

Yes

Office 13/6/07 (Please use separate half sheets invariably for record of Notes).

Subd to 2nd Sec L. B.

24/4 | FILE NO.

SCRAP

I do not think there is anything in the Police Rules about such cases, & must the charge debitable to the Provincial Budget? C

25/4/07

Office

Please refer to Police Rules & send them to me

26/4

(Separate sheet)

L. F. B.

6-4-01

Vide slip.

S-V-K

DJ 8/4

GL Re for 24-94

15/4

Submitted for orders.

No. 84

Officers of the Presidency
of the District Famine Boards.

Shimoga.

Dated 3rd April 1901.

To

The Secretary to the ( )
Government of Mysore
General (and Revenue Departments).

Sir,

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

sanction for the grant of the Special allowance to the said Patel as resolved by the Board. The charge may be met from Rs 700 under X Miscellaneous & other Items provided in the current years District Fund Budget.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

H. Manjunadayya

President

District Fund Board

Shimoga.

mds

6

(89)

To

The President of the
D. F. Board
Shimoga

SECTION L.B.
FILE No. 12/6/1900
2

His letter No. 843/679 dated 3rd April 1901 requesting that a Special allowance of Rs 2-8— a month may be sanctioned to the Patel at Saulanga in the Honnali Taluk for attending to the wants of travellers.

R.L. 215-2.

15826
927/5/9.

L.F. 74 of 1894

With reference to the above, has the honor to enquire whether any and what additional allowance has been given to the Patel of Saulanga under Rule XVI of the Chief Commissioner's Notification No 219 of 27th October 1874 and whether that

would not be sufficient
for the additional work which
he is said to [illegible] for. By order

[illegible]

Secretary
Sept 2/1/01